Spectral Signatures & Land Cover Composites Philadelphia to Glassboro, 2009-05-21







| Land cover Type | True Color B G R 1 2 3 | False IR G R NIR 2 3 4 | False SWIR R NIR SWIR 3 4 7 |
|---|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Water (Delaware River) | black | black | black |
| Forest (Glassboro WMA) | dark green | dark red | green |
| Grass (Tavistock CC) | green | red | lime green |
| Soil (Pennsauken Iandfill) | medium brown | light blue | purple |
| Urban (Center City Philly) | grey | light blue | purple |
| Your choice (agriculture plot) | beige | light blue-green | pink |

Spectral Signatures of Philly to Glassboro Region



Explanation: Among the five targets sampled in the Philly-Glassboro region, in Band 1 there appears to be two groups that do not show much separation: water, forest and grass landcovers being close together; and in the other group soil, urban, and agriculture land covers are close together. There is also a similar pattern of two groupings in Band 2. Starting at Band 3 and beyond the bands start to become more separable and distinct patterns form. Regarding Bands 3,4,5,7 the water landcover is an obvious outlier and does not share a similar spectral pattern with any other landcover types. Forest and grass do appear to share a similar pattern with all the bands. Soil and urban landcover also follow a similar path.

Gina DiMaio, 10 February 2022, Remote Sensing of the Environment